

MULTIPLIER IDEAL SHEAVES, COMPLEX SINGULARITY EXPONENTS, AND RESTRICTION FORMULA

QI'AN GUAN

ABSTRACT. In this article, we obtain two sharp equality conditions in the restriction formula on complex singularity exponents: an equality between the codimension of the zero variety of related multiplier ideal sheaves and the relative codimension of the restriction of the variety on the submanifold (in the restriction formula); an equivalence between the transversality (between the variety and the submanifold) and the regularity of the restriction of the variety. As applications, we present sharp equality conditions in the fundamental subadditivity property on complex singularity exponents.

1. BACKGROUND, MAIN RESULTS AND APPLICATIONS

Complex singularity exponent (or log canonical threshold (lct) in algebraic geometry) is an important concept related to the multiplier ideal sheaves in complex geometry and complex algebraic geometry. Several important and fundamental related results have been established, e.g. the restriction formula and the subadditivity property on complex singularity exponents (see [11] [7]).

Recently, by giving lower bounds of the dimensions of the zero varieties of related multiplier ideal sheaves, sharp equality conditions in the restriction formula and the subadditivity property have been established [21]. In the present article, continuing the above work, we obtain two sharp equality conditions: an equality between the codimension of the zero variety and the codimension of the restriction of the variety on the submanifold (in the restriction formula); an equivalence between the transversality (between the zero variety and the submanifold) and the regularity of the restriction of the zero variety. As applications, we present sharp equality conditions in the fundamental subadditivity property.

Organization: We organize the present article as follows: in Section 1, we recall some related results of multiplier ideal sheaves and complex singularity exponents, and present the main results of the present article (Theorem 1.3, Theorem 1.4) and applications (Proposition 1.7 and Proposition 1.8); in Section 2, we recall some known results and present some preparatory results; in Section 3, we prove the main results and applications.

1.1. Multiplier ideal sheaves and complex singularity exponents. Let Ω be a domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $o \in \Omega$. Let u be a plurisubharmonic function on Ω . Nadel [27] introduced the multiplier ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}(u)$ which can be defined as the sheaf of germs of holomorphic functions f such that $|f|^2 e^{-2u}$ is locally integrable (background see e.g. [34, 6, 35, 36, 25, 26, 37, 7]). Here u is regarded as the weight of $\mathcal{I}(u)$. As in [7], we denote the zero variety $\{z | \mathcal{I}(u)_z \neq \mathcal{O}_z, z \in \Omega\}$ of $\mathcal{I}(u)$ by $V(\mathcal{I}(u))$.

Date: October 27, 2015.

The author was partially supported by NSFC-11431013 and NSFC-11522101.

It is well-known that the multiplier ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}(u)$ is coherent and integral closed, satisfies Nadel's vanishing theorem [27], and the strong openness property $\mathcal{I}(u) = \cup_{\varepsilon>0} \mathcal{I}((1+\varepsilon)u)$ [17, 18, 19] i.e. the solution of Demailly's strong openness conjecture (the background and motivation of the conjecture could be referred to [6, 7], the proof of $\dim \leq 2$ case could be referred to [22, 23]).

The complex singularity exponent (see [38], see also [6, 7], lct in algebraic geometry see [32, 24]) is defined

$$c_o(u) := \sup\{c \geq 0 : \exp(-2cu) \text{ is integrable near } o\}.$$

Berndtsson's solution ([3]) of the openness conjecture posed by Demailly-Kollar, i.e. $e^{-2c_o(u)u}$ is not integrable near o , implies that $\{z | c_z(u) \leq c\} = V(\mathcal{I}(cu))$ is an analytic set.

Let $I \subseteq \mathcal{O}_o$ be a coherent ideal. The jumping number $c_o^I(u)$ is defined (see e.g. [22, 23]) $c_o^I(u) := \sup\{c \geq 0 : |I|^2 \exp(-2cu) \text{ is integrable near } o\}$. Let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ be a coherent ideal sheaf. In [21], it has been presented that the strong openness property $\mathcal{I}(u) = \cup_{\varepsilon>0} \mathcal{I}((1+\varepsilon)u)$ implies that $\{z | c_z^{\mathcal{F}}(u) \leq p\} = \text{Supp}(\mathcal{F}/(\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{I}(pu)))$ is an analytic subset.

1.2. Main results: Sharp equality conditions in the restriction formula on complex singularity exponents. Let u be a plurisubharmonic function near $o \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Let $p : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n-k}$ satisfying $p(z_1, \dots, z_n) = (z_{k+1}, \dots, z_n)$, where $(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ and $(z_{k+1}, \dots, z_n)$ are coordinates of $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $\mathbb{C}^{n-k}$ respectively. Let $H = \{z_{k+1} = \dots = z_n = 0\}$. In [11], the following restriction formula (an "important monotonicity result" as in [11]) on complex singularity exponents has been presented by using Ohsawa-Takegoshi L^2 extension theorem.

Proposition 1.1. [11] $c_o(u|_H) \leq c_o(u)$ holds, where $u|_H \not\equiv -\infty$.

Let $A = V(\mathcal{I}(c_o(u)u))$. In [21], the following equality condition in Proposition 1.1 has been established

Theorem 1.2. [21] If $c_o(u|_H) = c_o(u)$, then $\dim_o A \geq n - k$.

For the case $k = 1$ and $n = 2$, Theorem 1.2 can be referred to [4] and [12].

For the case $k = 1$ and $n > 2$, Theorem 1.2 can be referred to [20] (a recent new proof by combining methods in [20] and [10] can be referred to [31]).

In the present article, combining recent results in [21] with some new ideas, we obtain the following sharp equality condition in Proposition 1.1 by giving the equality between $n - \dim_o A$ and $k - \dim_o(A \cap H)$.

Theorem 1.3. If $c_o(u|_H) = c_o(u)$, then $\dim_o A = n - k + \dim_o(A \cap H)$.

It is known that for the case $k = 1$ and any n , one can obtain the regularity of (A, o) (see [20] and [21]). Then it is natural to consider the regularity of (A, o) for general k . When $n = 2$, and $u = \log|z_2 - z_1^2|$ ($H = \{z_2 = 0\}$), one can obtain that $c_o(u|_H) = 1/2 < 1 = c_o(u)$. It is natural to consider the transversality between (A, o) and (H, o) .

In the present article, we obtain the following sharp equality condition in Proposition 1.1 by giving the equivalence between the transversality (between (A, o) and (H, o)) and the regularity of $(A \cap H, o)$.

Theorem 1.4. If $c_o(u|_H) = c_o(u)$, then the following statements are equivalent

- (1) $(A \cap H, o)$ is regular;

(2) *there exist coordinates $(w_1, \dots, w_k, z_{k+1}, \dots, z_n)$ near o and $l \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that $(A, o) = (w_1 = \dots = w_l = 0, o)$;*

(3) *there exist coordinates $(w_1, \dots, w_k, z_{k+1}, \dots, z_n)$ near o and $l \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that $\mathcal{I}(c_o(u)u)_o = (w_1, \dots, w_l)_o$.*

1.3. Applications: Sharp equality conditions in the fundamental subadditivity property of complex singularity exponents. Let u and v be plurisubharmonic functions near $o \in \mathbb{C}^n$. In [11, 21], the fundamental subadditivity property of complex singularity exponents has been presented.

Theorem 1.5. [11, 21] $c_o(\max\{u, v\}) \leq c_o(u) + c_o(v)$.

Let $c = c_o(u) + c_o(v)$. Let $A_1 = V(\mathcal{I}(cu))$ and $A_2 = V(\mathcal{I}(cv))$. In [21], the following sharp equality condition in Theorem 1.5 has been established.

Theorem 1.6. [21] *If $c_o(\max\{u, v\}) = c$, then $\dim_o A_1 + \dim_o A_2 \geq n$.*

Let $B = \{z | c_z(u) + c_z(v) \leq c\}$, which is an analytic subset on $A_1 \cap A_2$ (see subsection 3.3).

As an application of Theorem 1.3, we present the following more precise version of Theorem 1.6

Proposition 1.7. *If $c_o(\max\{u, v\}) = c$, then $\dim_o A_1 + \dim_o A_2 \geq n + \dim_o B$.*

Let $n = 2$, $u = \log |z_1|$, $v = \log |z_1 - z_2^2|$. As $(|z_1| + |z_2^2|)/6 \leq \max\{|z_1|, |z_1 - z_2^2|\} \leq 6(|z_1| + |z_2^2|)$, it is clear that $c_o(\max\{u, v\}) = 1 + 1/2 < 2 = c$, $A_1 \cap A_2 = \{o\}$. Then it is natural to consider the transversality between A_1 and A_2 .

As an application of Theorem 1.4, we present the following sharp equality condition in Theorem 1.5 by giving the regularity of A_1 and A_2 and the transversality between A_1 and A_2 .

Proposition 1.8. *Assume that (A_1, o) and (A_2, o) are both irreducible such that $(B, o) = (A_1 \cap A_2, o)$, which is regular. If $c_o(\max\{u, v\}) = c$, then both (A_1, o) and (A_2, o) are regular such that $\dim(T_{A_1, o} + T_{A_2, o}) = n$.*

2. SOME PREPARATIONS

In this section, we recall some known results and present some preparatory results for the proofs of the main results and applications in the present article.

2.1. Ohsawa-Takegoshi L^2 extension theorem. We recall the famous Ohsawa-Takegoshi L^2 extension theorem as follows:

Theorem 2.1. ([30], see also [28, 34, 1, 5, 35], etc.) *Let D be a bounded pseudoconvex domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Let u be a plurisubharmonic function on D . Let H be an m -dimensional complex plane in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Then for any holomorphic function f on $H \cap D$ satisfying*

$$\int_{H \cap D} |f|^2 e^{-2u} d\lambda_H < +\infty,$$

there exists a holomorphic function F on D satisfying $F|_{H \cap D} = f$, and

$$\int_D |F|^2 e^{-2u} d\lambda_n \leq C_D \int_{H \cap D} |f|^2 e^{-2u} d\lambda_H,$$

where C_D only depends on the diameter of D and m , and $d\lambda_H$ is the Lebesgue measure on H .

The optimal estimates of generalized versions of Theorem 2.1 could be referred to [14, 15, 16].

Following the symbols in Theorem 2.1, there is a local version of Theorem 2.1

Remark 2.2. (see [30], see also [11]) *For any germ of holomorphic function f on $o \in H \cap D$ satisfying $|f|^2 e^{-2u|_H}$ is locally integrable near o , there exists a germ of holomorphic function F on $o \in D$ satisfying $F|_{H \cap D} = f$, and $|F|^2 e^{-2u}$ is locally integrable near o .*

2.2. Berndtsson's solution of the openness conjecture. Let $(z_1, \dots, z_k)$ be the coordinates of $\mathbb{B}^{k-l} \times \mathbb{B}^l \subseteq \mathbb{C}^k$, and let $p : \mathbb{B}^{k-l} \times \mathbb{B}^l \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^{k-l}$. Let $H_1 := \{z_{k-l+1} = \dots = z_k = 0\}$. In [21], by combining with Theorem 2.1, Berndtsson's solution of the openness conjecture [3] and Berndtsson's log-plurisubharmonicity of relative Bergman kernels [2], it has been presented

Remark 2.3. [21] *Let u be a plurisubharmonic function on $\mathbb{B}^{k-l} \times \mathbb{B}^l \subseteq \mathbb{C}^k$. Then there exists $c \in (0, +\infty]$, such that $c_{z_a}(u|_{L_a}) = c$ for almost every $a = (a_1, \dots, a_{k-l}) \in \mathbb{B}^{k-l}$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{C}^{k-l}$, where $L_a = \{z_1 = a_1, \dots, z_{k-l} = a_{k-l}\}$ and $z_a \in L_a \cap H_1$.*

We present a corollary of Remark 2.3 as follows

Corollary 2.4. *Let u be a plurisubharmonic function on $\mathbb{B}^{k-l} \times \mathbb{B}^l$. Assume that $c_z(u) \leq 1$ for any $z \in H_1$ and $c_o(u) = 1$. Then for almost every $a = (a_1, \dots, a_{k-l}) \in \mathbb{B}^{k-l}$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{C}^{k-l}$, $c_{z_a}(u|_{L_a}) = 1$ holds, where L_a and z_a are as in Remark 2.3.*

Proof. By Remark 2.3 and $c_o(u) = 1$, it follows that $c \geq 1$ (consider the integrability of e^{-2pu} near o , where $p < 1$ near 1, and by contradiction).

By $c_z(u) \leq 1$ for any $z \in H_1$ and Proposition 1.1, it follows that $c_{z_a}(u|_{L_a}) \leq c_{z_a}(u) \leq 1$ for any $z_a \in L_a \cap H_1$. Combining $c \geq 1$, we obtain Corollary 2.4. $\square$

The following remark is the singular version of Corollary 2.4:

Remark 2.5. *Let A_3 be a reduced irreducible analytic subvariety on $\mathbb{B}^{k-l} \times \mathbb{B}^l$ through o satisfying $\dim_o A_3 = k - l$ such that*

(1) *for any $a = (a_1, \dots, a_{k-l}) \in \mathbb{B}^{k-l}$, $A_3 \cap L_a \neq \emptyset$, where $L_a = \{z_1 = a_1, \dots, z_{k-l} = a_{k-l}\}$;*

(2) *there exists analytic subset $A_4 \subseteq \mathbb{B}^{k-l}$ such that any $z \in (A_3 \cap p^{-1}(\mathbb{B}^{k-l} \setminus A_4))$ is the regular point in A_3 and the noncritical point of $p|_{A_3, \text{reg}}$.*

Let u be a plurisubharmonic function on $\mathbb{B}^{k-l} \times \mathbb{B}^l$. Assume that $c_z(u) \leq 1$ for any $z \in A_3$ and $c_o(u) = 1$. Then for almost every $a = (a_1, \dots, a_{k-l}) \in \mathbb{B}^{k-l}$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{C}^{k-l}$, there exists $z_a \in A_3 \cap L_a$ such that equality $c_{z_a}(u|_{L_a}) = 1$.

Proof. By Remark 2.3, it follows that there exists $c \in (0, \infty]$. such that $c_z(u|_{L_{p(z)}}) = c$ for almost every $z \in (A_3 \cap p^{-1}(\mathbb{B}^{k-l} \setminus A_4))$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure in $(A_3 \cap p^{-1}(\mathbb{B}^{k-l} \setminus A_4))$. By $c_o(u) = 1$, it follows that $c \geq 1$ (consider the integrability of e^{-2pu} near o , where $p < 1$ near 1, and by contradiction).

By Proposition 1.1, it follows that $1 \leq c \leq c_z(u|_{L_{p(z)}}) \leq c_z(u) \leq 1$ holds, for almost every $z \in (A_3 \cap p^{-1}(\mathbb{B}^{k-l} \setminus A_4))$. Then one can find $z_3 \in (A_3 \cap p^{-1}(\mathbb{B}^{k-l} \setminus A_4))$ such that $c_{z_3}(u) = c_{z_3}(u|_{L_{p(z_3)}}) = 1$.

By Corollary 2.4 ($o \sim z_3$), it follows that for almost every $a = (a_1, \dots, a_{k-l}) \in (\mathbb{B}^{k-l} \setminus A_4)$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{C}^{k-l}$, there exists $z_a \in A_3 \cap L_a$ such that equality $c_{z_a}(u|_{L_a}) = 1$. As the Lebesgue measure of A_4 on $\mathbb{C}^{k-l}$ is zero, then we obtain Remark 2.5. $\square$

2.3. Hilbert's Nullstellensatz (complex situation). It is well-known that the complex situation of Hilbert's Nullstellensatz is as follows (see (4.22) in [8])

Theorem 2.6. (see [8]) *For every ideal $I \subset \mathcal{O}_o$, $\mathcal{I}_{V(I),o} = \sqrt{I}$, where $\sqrt{I}$ is the radical of I , i.e. the set of germs $f \in \mathcal{O}_o$ such that some power f^k lies in I .*

2.4. A consequence of Demailly's strong openness conjecture. In [20], inspired by the proof of Demailly's equisingular approximation theorem (see Theorem 15.3 in [7]) and using the strong openness property $\mathcal{I}(u) = \cup_{\varepsilon > 0} \mathcal{I}((1 + \varepsilon)u)$ [17, 18, 19], the following observation has been presented:

Proposition 2.7. ([20], see also [21]) *Let D be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{C}^n$, and $o \in D$. Let u be a plurisubharmonic function on D . Let $J \subseteq \mathcal{I}(u)_o$. Then there exists $p > 0$ large enough, such that the plurisubharmonic function $\tilde{u} = \max\{u, p \log |J|\}$ on a small enough neighborhood V_o of o satisfying that $e^{-2u} - e^{-2\tilde{u}}$ is integrable.*

We recall some direct consequences of Proposition 2.7 as follows

Remark 2.8. ([20], see also [21]) $\tilde{u}$ (as in Proposition 2.7) satisfies:

- (1) for any $z \in (\{z | c_z(u) \leq 1\}, o) = (V(\mathcal{I}(u)), o)$, inequality $c_z(u) \leq c_z(\tilde{u}) \leq 1$ holds;
- (2) if $c_{z_0}(u) = 1$, then $c_{z_0}(\tilde{u}) = 1$, where $z_0 \in (\{z | c_z(u) \leq 1\}, o)$.

Let $I \subseteq \mathcal{O}_o$ be a coherent ideal, and let u be a plurisubharmonic function near o . Using Proposition 2.7, we present the following result about the integrability of the ideals related to weight of jumping number one.

Proposition 2.9. *Let $J \subseteq \mathcal{O}_o$ be a coherent ideal. Assume that $c_o^I(u) = 1$. If $(V(\mathcal{I}(u)), o) \subseteq (V(J), o)$, then $|I|^2 |J|^{2\varepsilon} e^{-2u}$ is locally integrable near o for any $\varepsilon > 0$.*

After the present article has been written, Demailly kindly shared his manuscript [9] with the author addresses, which includes Proposition 2.9 (Lemma (4.2) in [9]).

Proof. (proof of Proposition 2.9) Let $J_0 \subseteq \mathcal{O}_o$ be a coherent ideal satisfying $(V(J_0), o) \supseteq (V(\mathcal{I}(u)), o)$. By Theorem 2.6 ($I \sim \mathcal{I}(u)_o$), it follows that there exists large enough positive integer N such that $J_0^N \subseteq \mathcal{I}(u)_o$.

By Proposition 2.7, it follows that exist $p_0 > 0$ large enough such that $e^{-2u} - e^{-2 \max\{u, p_0 \log |J_0|\}}$ is locally integrable near o .

It suffices to prove that $|I|^2 |J_0|^{2\varepsilon} e^{-2 \max\{u, p_0 \log |J_0|\}}$ is locally integrable near o for small enough $\varepsilon > 0$. We prove the above statement by contradiction: If not, then there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, such that $|I|^2 |J_0|^{2\varepsilon_0} e^{-2 \max\{u, p_0 \log |J_0|\}}$ is not locally integrable near o . Note that $\varepsilon_0 \log |J_0| \leq \frac{\varepsilon_0}{p_0} \max\{u, p_0 \log |J_0|\}$, then it follows that $|I|^2 e^{-2(1 - \frac{\varepsilon_0}{p_0}) \max\{u, p_0 \log |J_0|\}}$ is not locally integrable near o . Note that $u \leq \max\{u, p_0 \log |J_0|\}$, then it follows that $|I|^2 e^{-2(1 - \frac{\varepsilon_0}{p_0})u}$ is not locally integrable near o , which contradicts $c_o^I(u) = 1$. Then we prove Proposition 2.9. $\square$

Let $I = \mathcal{O}_o$, $\varepsilon = 1$. Using Proposition 2.9, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 2.10. *Let $J \subseteq \mathcal{O}_o$ be a coherent ideal. Assume that $c_o(u) = 1$. Then the following two statements are equivalent*

- (1) $(V(J), o) \supseteq (V(\mathcal{I}(u)), o)$;
- (2) $|J|^2 e^{-2u}$ is locally integrable near o , i.e. $J \subseteq \mathcal{I}(u)_o$.

2.5. Product spaces. Let $\pi_i := \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \rightarrow \Omega_i$ $i = 1, 2$ be the projections, where $\Omega_i \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ and containing the origin $o \in \mathbb{C}^n$ for any i . Let Δ be the diagonal of $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n$. It is well-known that

Remark 2.11. *Let A_1 and A_2 be two varieties on Ω_1 and Ω_2 respectively through o . Assume that A_1 and A_2 are both regular at o . Then $\dim(T_{A_1, o} \cap T_{A_2, o}) = \dim(T_{A_1 \times A_2, (o, o)} \cap T_{\Delta, (o, o)})$.*

Let u and v be plurisubharmonic functions near o . In [11, 21], the following statement has been presented, which was used to prove Theorem 1.5.

Proposition 2.12. [11, 21] $c_{z \times w}(\max\{\pi_1^*(u), \pi_2^*(v)\}) = c_z(u) + c_w(v)$.

Let $c = c_o(u) + c_o(v)$. Proposition 2.12 shows that $c_{(o, o)}(\max\{\pi_1^*(u), \pi_2^*(v)\}) = c$.

3. PROOFS OF MAIN RESULTS AND APPLICATIONS

In this section, we present the proofs of main results and applications.

3.1. Proof of Theorem 1.3. By Remark 2.8 ($u \sim c_o(u)u$, $J = \mathcal{I}(c_o(u)u)_o$), it follows that

$$c_z(\tilde{u}) \leq 1 \quad (3.1)$$

for any $z \in (A, o)$ and $c_o(\tilde{u}) = 1$ ($\Leftarrow c_o(c_o(u)u) = 1$). By Proposition 1.1, it follows that

$$c_z(\tilde{u}|_H) \leq 1 \quad (3.2)$$

for any $z \in (A \cap H, o)$.

Using Proposition 1.1 and inequality 3.2, one can obtain that $c_o(\tilde{u}|_H) \leq c_o(\tilde{u}) \leq 1$. Combining with $1 = c_o(u)/c_o(u) = c_o(u|_H)/c_o(u) = c_o(c_o(u)u|_H) \leq c_o(\tilde{u}|_H)$ ($\Leftarrow c_o(u)u \leq \tilde{u}$), one can obtain that

$$c_o(\tilde{u}|_H) = c_o(\tilde{u}) = 1. \quad (3.3)$$

Let $l = k - \dim_o(A \cap H)$. Let A_3 be a irreducible component of $A \cap H$ on $\mathbb{B}^{k-l} \times \mathbb{B}^l \subset H$ through o satisfying $\dim_o A_3 = k - l$.

By the parametrization of (A_3, o) in H (see "Local parametrization theorem" (4.19) in [8]), it follows that one can find local coordinates $(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ of a neighborhood $U = \mathbb{B}^{k-l} \times \mathbb{B}^l \times \mathbb{B}^{n-k}$ of o satisfying $H = \{z_{k+1} = \dots = z_n = 0\}$ and $\dim(A \cap U) = \dim_o A$ such that

- (1) $A_3 \cap ((\mathbb{B}^{k-l} \times \mathbb{B}^l) \cap H)$ is reduced and irreducible;
- (2) for any $a = (a_1, \dots, a_{k-l}) \in \mathbb{B}^{k-l}$, $A_3 \cap L_a \neq \emptyset$, where $L_a = \{z_1 = a_1, \dots, z_{k-l} = a_{k-l}\}$;
- (3) there exists analytic subset $A_4 \subseteq \mathbb{B}^{k-l}$ such that any $z \in (A_3 \cap p^{-1}(\mathbb{B}^{k-l} \setminus A_4))$ is the regular point in A_3 and the noncritical point of $p|_{A_3, \text{reg}}$, where $p : (z_1, \dots, z_k) = (z_1, \dots, z_{k-l})$.

By (2), (3), $c_o(\tilde{u}) = c_o(\tilde{u}|_H) = 1$ (inequality 3.3), $c_z(\tilde{u}) \leq 1$ for any $z \in A_3$ (inequality 3.1), and Remark 2.5, it follows that for almost every $a = (a_1, \dots, a_{k-l}) \in \mathbb{B}^{k-l}$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{C}^{k-l}$, there exists $z_a \in A_3 \cap L_a$ such that equality $c_{z_a}(\tilde{u}|_{L_a}) = 1$ (the set of a denoted by A_{ae}).

Let $\tilde{L}_a = \{z_1 = a_1, \dots, z_{k-l} = a_{k-l}\}$. By inequality 3.1 and Proposition 1.1, it follows that for any $a \in A_{ae}$, $1 = c_{z_a}(\tilde{u}|_{L_a}) \leq c_{z_a}(\tilde{u}|_{\tilde{L}_a}) \leq c_{z_a}(\tilde{u}) = 1$, which implies $c_{z_a}(\tilde{u}|_{L_a}) = c_{z_a}(\tilde{u}|_{\tilde{L}_a}) = 1$. Using Theorem 1.2 ($\mathbb{C}^n \sim \tilde{L}_a$, $H \sim H \cap \tilde{L}_a = L_a$, $o \sim z_a$, $u \sim \tilde{u}|_{\tilde{L}_a}$), one can obtain that for any $a \in A_{ae}$, $\max_{z_a \in p^{-1}(a)} \dim_{z_a} \{z | c_z(\tilde{u}|_{\tilde{L}_a}) \leq 1\} \geq n - l - (k - l) = n - k$. By the definition of $\tilde{u}$, it follows that $(A \cap U) \cap \tilde{L}_a \supseteq \{c_o(\tilde{u}|_{\tilde{L}_a}) \leq 1\}$, which implies $\dim((A \cap U) \cap \tilde{L}_a) \geq \max_{z_a \in p^{-1}(a)} \dim_{z_a} \{z | c_z(\tilde{u}|_{\tilde{L}_a}) \leq 1\}$. Then we obtain that the $2(n - k)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure of $\dim((A \cap U) \cap \tilde{L}_a)$ is not zero for any $a \in A_{ae}$.

Note that the $2(k - l)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure of A_{ae} is not zero, then it follows that the $2(n - k) + 2(k - l) = 2(n - l)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure of A near o is not zero (see Theorem 3.2.22 in [13]), which implies that $\dim_o A = \dim(A \cap U) \geq n - l$. Note that $l = k - \dim_o(A \cap H)$ implies $\dim_o A \leq n - k + (k - l) = n - l$, then Theorem 1.3 has been proved.

3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.4. By Corollary 2.10, it follows that (2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3).

In order to prove Theorem 1.4, by Theorem 1.3, it suffices to prove the following statement ((1) $\Rightarrow$ (2)).

Assume that $(A \cap H, o)$ is regular, and $k - \dim_o A \cap H = n - \dim_o A$. If $c_o(u) = c_o(u|_H)$, then there exist coordinates $(w_1, \dots, w_k, z_{k+1}, \dots, z_n)$ near o and $l \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, such that $(w_1 = \dots = w_l = 0, o) = (A, o)$.

Let $J_0 = \mathcal{I}(c_o(u)u)_o$. By Remark 2.8 ($u \sim c_o(u)u$), it follows that there exists $p_0 > 0$ large enough, such that $\tilde{u} := \max\{c_o(u)u, p_0 \log |J_0|\}$ satisfies: (1) $c_o(\tilde{u}) = 1$ ($\Leftarrow c_o(c_o(u)u) = 1$); (2) $(\{z | c_z(\tilde{u}) \leq 1\}, o) = (A, o)$.

By $\tilde{u}|_H \geq c_o(u)u|_H = c_o(u|_H)u|_H$, it follows that $c_o(\tilde{u}|_H) \geq c_o(c_o(u)u|_H) = c_o(c_o(u|_H)u|_H) = 1$. Combining with the fact that $c_o(\tilde{u}|_H) \leq c_o(\tilde{u}) = 1$, we obtain that

$$c_o(\tilde{u}|_H) = 1. \quad (3.4)$$

Note that $c_z(\tilde{u}|_H) \leq c_z(\tilde{u})$ for any $z \in A \cap H$, then by (2) ($\Rightarrow c_z(\tilde{u}) \leq 1$) for any $z \in A \cap H$, it follows that $(\{z | c_z(\tilde{u}|_H) \leq 1\}, o) \supseteq (A \cap H, o)$. Combining with the definition of $\tilde{u}$ ($\Rightarrow (\{z | c_z(\tilde{u}|_H) < +\infty\}, o) \subseteq (V(J_o) \cap H, o) = (A \cap H, o)$), we obtain

$$(V(\mathcal{I}(\tilde{u}|_H)), o) = (\{z | c_z(\tilde{u}|_H) \leq 1\}, o) = (A \cap H, o). \quad (3.5)$$

In the following part of the present section, we consider $\tilde{u}$ instead of u .

By equality 3.5, it follows that $(V(\mathcal{I}(\tilde{u}|_H)), o) = (A \cap H, o)$ is regular. Combining with equality 3.4 and Corollary 2.10 ($u \sim \tilde{u}|_H$ on (H, o)), it follows that there exist $l \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and holomorphic functions $f_1, \dots, f_l$ near $o \in H$ such that

- (a) $df_1|_o, \dots, df_l|_o$ are linear independent;
- (b) $(\{f_1 = \dots = f_l = 0\}, o) = (A \cap H, o)$ holds;
- (c) $|f_j|^2 e^{-2\tilde{u}|_H}$ are all locally integrable near o for $j \in \{1, \dots, l\}$.

By Remark 2.2 and (c), it follows that there exist holomorphic functions $F_1, \dots, F_l$ near $o \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $|F_j|^2 e^{-2\tilde{u}}$ are integrable near o for any $j \in \{1, \dots, l\}$, which implies that $\{F_1 = \dots = F_l = 0\} \supseteq A$. Combining $F_j = f_j$ and (a), we obtain that $dF_1|_o, \dots, dF_l|_o, dz_{k+1}|_o, \dots, dz_n|_o$ are linear independent.

Note that $\{F_1 = \dots = F_l = 0\}$ is regular near o and $n - \dim_o A = k - \dim_o A \cap H = l$, then it follows that $\{F_1 = \dots = F_l = 0\} = A$ near o . Choosing $w_j = F_j$ for any $j \in \{1, \dots, l\}$, one can find holomorphic functions $w_{l+1}, \dots, w_k$ near o such

that $dw_1|_o, \dots, dw_k|_o, dz_{k+1}|_o, \dots, dz_n|_o$ are linear independent. Then Theorem 1.4 has been proved.

3.3. Proof of Proposition 1.7. Let $A_1 = V(\mathcal{I}(cu))$ and $A_2 = V(\mathcal{I}(cv))$, and $A = \{(z, w) | c_{(z,w)}(\max\{\pi_1^*(u), \pi_2^*(v)\}) \leq c\}$. By Proposition 1.5, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} c_{(o,o)}(\max\{\pi_1^*(u), \pi_2^*(v)\}) &= c_o(u) + c_o(v) = c \\ &= c_o(\max\{u, v\}) = c_{(z,w)}(\max\{\pi_1^*(u), \pi_2^*(v)\}|_\Delta). \end{aligned}$$

Using Theorem 1.3 ($u \sim \max\{\pi_1^*(u), \pi_2^*(v)\}$, $H \sim \Delta$, $o \sim (o, o)$, $k \sim n$, $n \sim 2n$), we obtain $\dim_{(o,o)} A = \dim_{(o,o)}(A \cap \Delta) + n$. By Proposition 2.12, it follows that $A = \{(z, w) | c_z(u) + c_w(v) \leq c\} \subseteq \{(z, w) | \max\{c_z(u), c_w(v)\} \leq c\} = A_1 \times A_2$, which implies $\dim_o A_1 + \dim_o A_2 = \dim_{(o,o)}(A_1 \times A_2) \geq \dim_{(o,o)} A$. Note that $B = \{z | c_z(u) + c_z(v) \leq c\}$ is biholomorphic to $A \cap \Delta$, then it follows that $\dim_o A_1 + \dim_o A_2 \geq \dim_{(o,o)} A = \dim_{(o,o)}(A \cap \Delta) + n = n + \dim_o B$. Proposition 1.7 has thus been proved.

3.4. Proof of Proposition 1.8. Following the symbols in subsection 3.3, by Theorem 1.4 ($n \sim 2n$, $k \sim n$, $u \sim \max\{\pi_1^*u, \pi_2^*v\}$, $o \sim (o, o) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n$, $H \sim \Delta$ the diagonal of $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n$), it follows that A is regular at $((o, o))$ satisfying $\dim_{(o,o)} A = \dim_{(o,o)}(A \cap \Delta) + n$. As $A_1 \cap A_2 = B$, it follows that $(A_1 \times A_2) \cap \Delta = A \cap \Delta$, which implies

$$\dim_{(o,o)} A = \dim_{(o,o)}(A \cap \Delta) + n = \dim_{(o,o)}((A_1 \times A_2) \cap \Delta) + n. \quad (3.6)$$

Note that $A_1 \times A_2 \supseteq A$ and equality 3.6 holds, then it follows that $\dim_{(o,o)}(A_1 \times A_2) \geq \dim_{(o,o)} A = \dim_{(o,o)}((A_1 \times A_2) \cap \Delta) + n$. As Δ is regular, then it is clear that $\dim_{(o,o)}(A_1 \times A_2) \leq \dim_{(o,o)}((A_1 \times A_2) \cap \Delta) + n$, which implies $\dim_{(o,o)}(A_1 \times A_2) = \dim_{(o,o)}((A_1 \times A_2) \cap \Delta) + n = \dim_{(o,o)} A$. Note that $(A, (o, o))$ is regular and $A_1 \times A_2$ is irreducible at (o, o) (A_1 and A_2 are both irreducible at o), then we obtain $A = A_1 \times A_2$, which implies A_1 and A_2 are both regular.

By the transversality between $A_1 \times A_2 = A$ and Δ at (o, o) and Remark 2.11, it follows that $2n = \dim(T_{A_1 \times A_2, (o,o)} + T_{\Delta, (o,o)}) = \dim T_{A_1 \times A_2, (o,o)} + \dim T_{\Delta, (o,o)} - \dim(T_{A_1 \times A_2, (o,o)} \cap T_{\Delta, (o,o)}) = (\dim T_{A_1, o} + \dim T_{A_2, o}) + n - \dim(T_{A_1, o} \cap T_{A_2, o}) = \dim(T_{A_1, o} + T_{A_2, o}) + n$. It is clear that $\dim(T_{A_1, o} + T_{A_2, o}) = n$, then we prove Proposition 1.8.

Acknowledgements. The author addresses would like to sincerely thank my advisor, Professor Xiangyu Zhou, for bringing me to the theory of multiplier ideal sheaves and for his valuable help to me in all ways.

The author would also like to sincerely thank Professor Jean-Pierre Demailly for giving series of talks on related topics at CAS and PKU and sharing his related recent work, Professor Liyou Zhang for pointing out some typos, and Zhenqian Li for helpful comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Berndtsson, The extension theorem of Ohsawa-Takegoshi and the theorem of Donnelly-Fefferman, Ann. L'Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 46 (1996), no. 4, 1083–1094.
- [2] B. Berndtsson, Subharmonicity properties of the Bergman kernel and some other functions associated to pseudoconvex domains. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 56 (2006), no. 6, 1633–1662.
- [3] B. Berndtsson, The openness conjecture for plurisubharmonic functions, arXiv:1305.5781.

- [4] M. Blel and S.K. Mimouni. Singularités et intégrabilité des fonctions plurisousharmoniques [Singularities and integrability of plurisubharmonic functions] *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 55 (2005), no. 2, 319–351.
- [5] J.-P. Demailly, On the Ohsawa-Takegoshi-Manivel L^2 extension theorem, *Proceedings of the Conference in honour of the 85th birthday of Pierre Lelong*, Paris, September 1997, *Progress in Mathematics*, Birkhäuser, 2000.
- [6] J.-P. Demailly, Multiplier ideal sheaves and analytic methods in algebraic geometry. *School on Vanishing Theorems and Effective Results in Algebraic Geometry (Trieste, 2000)*, 1–148, *ICTP Lect. Notes*, 6, Abdus Salam Int. Cent. Theoret. Phys., Trieste, 2001.
- [7] J.-P. Demailly, *Analytic Methods in Algebraic Geometry*, Higher Education Press, Beijing, 2010.
- [8] J.-P. Demailly, Complex analytic and differential geometry, electronically accessible at <http://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/~demailly/books.html>.
- [9] J.-P. Demailly, Extension of holomorphic functions defined on non reduced analytic subvarieties, [arXiv:1510.05230](https://arxiv.org/abs/1510.05230) [math.CV].
- [10] J.-P. Demailly, H. Pham, A sharp lower bound for the log canonical threshold. *Acta Math.* 212 (2014), no. 1, 1–9.
- [11] J.-P. Demailly, J. Kollár, Semi-continuity of complex singularity exponents and Kähler-Einstein metrics on Fano orbifolds. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* 34 (2001), no. 4, 525–556.
- [12] C. Favre and M. Jonsson, Valuations and multiplier ideals, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 18 (2005), no. 3, 655–684.
- [13] Federer, Herbert Geometric measure theory. *Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften*, Band 153 Springer-Verlag New York Inc., New York 1969 xiv+676 pp.
- [14] Q.A. Guan and X.Y. Zhou, Optimal constant problem in the L^2 extension theorem, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris. Ser. I.* 350 (2012), no. 15–16, 753–756.
- [15] Q.A. Guan and X.Y. Zhou, Optimal constant in an L^2 extension problem and a proof of a conjecture of Ohsawa, *Sci. China Math.*, 2015, 58(1): 35–59.
- [16] Q.A. Guan and X.Y. Zhou, A solution of an L^2 extension problem with an optimal estimate and applications, *Ann. of Math. (2)* 181 (2015), no. 3, 1139–1208.
- [17] Q.A. Guan and X.Y. Zhou, Strong openness conjecture for plurisubharmonic functions, [arXiv:1311.3781](https://arxiv.org/abs/1311.3781).
- [18] Q.A. Guan and X.Y. Zhou, Strong openness conjecture and related problems for plurisubharmonic functions, [arXiv:1401.7158](https://arxiv.org/abs/1401.7158).
- [19] Q.A. Guan and X.Y. Zhou, A proof of Demailly’s strong openness conjecture, *Ann. of Math. (2)* 182 (2015), no. 2, 605–616.
- [20] Q.A. Guan and X.Y. Zhou, Characterization of multiplier ideal sheaves with weights of Lelong number one, *Adv. Math.* 285 (2015) 1688–1705.
- [21] Q.A. Guan and X.Y. Zhou, Multiplier ideal sheaves, jumping numbers, and the restriction formula, [arXiv:1504.04209v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/1504.04209v2) [math.CV].
- [22] M. Jonsson and M. Mustatǎ, Valuations and asymptotic invariants for sequences of ideals, *Annales de l’Institut Fourier A.* 2012, vol. 62, no.6, pp. 2145–2209.
- [23] M. Jonsson and M. Mustatǎ, An algebraic approach to the openness conjecture of Demailly and Kollár, *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu* (2013), 1–26.
- [24] J. Kollár (with 14 coauthors): *Flips and Abundance for Algebraic Threefolds*; *Astérisque* Vol. 211 (1992).
- [25] R. Lazarsfeld, Positivity in algebraic geometry. I. Classical setting: line bundles and linear series. *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics*, 48. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. xviii+387 pp.
- [26] R. Lazarsfeld, Positivity in algebraic geometry. II. Positivity for vector bundles, and multiplier ideals. *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics*, 49. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. xviii+385 pp.
- [27] A. Nadel, Multiplier ideal sheaves and Kähler-Einstein metrics of positive scalar curvature. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 132 (1990), no. 3, 549–596.
- [28] T. Ohsawa, On the extension of L^2 holomorphic functions. III. Negligible weights. *Math. Z.* 219 (1995), no. 2, 215–225.
- [29] T. Ohsawa, On the extension of L^2 holomorphic functions. V. Effects of generalization. *Nagoya Math. J.* 161 (2001), 1–21. Erratum to: "On the extension of L^2 holomorphic

- functions. V. Effects of generalization" [Nagoya Math. J. 161 (2001), 1–21]. Nagoya Math. J. 163 (2001), 229.
- [30] T. Ohsawa and K. Takegoshi, On the extension of L^2 holomorphic functions, Math. Z. 195 (1987), 197–204.
 - [31] A. Rashkovskii, A log canonical threshold test, arXiv:1501.04831.
 - [32] V. Shokurov: 3-fold log flips; Izv. Russ. Acad. Nauk Ser. Mat. Vol. 56 (1992) 105–203.
 - [33] Y.T. Siu, Analyticity of sets associated to Lelong numbers and the extension of closed positive currents. Invent. Math. 27 (1974), 53–156.
 - [34] Y.T. Siu, The Fujita conjecture and the extension theorem of Ohsawa-Takegoshi, Geometric Complex Analysis, Hayama. World Scientific (1996), 577–592.
 - [35] Y.T. Siu, Extension of twisted pluricanonical sections with plurisubharmonic weight and invariance of semipositively twisted plurigenera for manifolds not necessarily of general type. Complex geometry (Göttingen, 2000), 223–277, Springer, Berlin, (2002).
 - [36] Y.T. Siu, Multiplier ideal sheaves in complex and algebraic geometry. Sci. China Ser. A 48 (2005), suppl., 1–31.
 - [37] Y.T. Siu, Dynamic multiplier ideal sheaves and the construction of rational curves in Fano manifolds. Complex analysis and digital geometry, 323–360, Acta Univ. Upsaliensis Skr. Uppsala Univ. C Organ. Hist., 86, Uppsala Universitet, Uppsala, 2009.
 - [38] G. Tian, On Kähler-Einstein metrics on certain Kähler manifolds with $C_1(M) > 0$, Invent. Math. 89 (1987), no. 2, 225–246.

QI'AN GUAN: SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, AND BEIJING INTERNATIONAL CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL RESEARCH, PEKING UNIVERSITY, BEIJING, 100871, CHINA.

E-mail address: `guanqian@math.pku.edu.cn`